

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/826,263	04/19/2004	James B. McKim JR.	10003851-3 9405		
7	590 01/26/2005	EXAMINER NGUYEN, JIMMY			
	ECHNOLOGIES, INC.				
Legal Departm Intellectual Pro	ent, DL429 pperty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			2829 DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/826,263		MCKIM, JAMES B	!				
		Examiner		Art Unit	·				
	•	Jimmy Ngu	ven .	2829					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				dress				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	January 2005.							
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
, —-									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) 6 is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.								
•	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
• •	•	ner		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
• • •	<i>u</i> ,								
Attachmen			4) Therview Summers	(PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		o,	_					

Application/Control Number: 10/826,263

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 6 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,531,898.

Claims matching

10/826,263

US patent 6,531,898

6

1 and 4

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the 898' patent with the measuring instrument comprising an output indicator as disclosed in 10/826,263 for the purpose of viewing the output result.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKim, Jr (US 6,531,898) in view of Canter (US 5,053,695).

As to claim 6, McKim discloses a source having a impedance and connected to a load, the source comprising:

a detection circuit (14, of fig 6) to determine whether a current flow through the impedance is load induced or source induced; a processing circuit (18, of fig 6) to perform an operation based upon whether the current flow is load induced or source induced. wherein the source, the DC offset elimination circuit, and the output impedance circuits are not included

However; McKim fails to disclose the remainder of the system is a measuring instrument further comprising an output indicator which indicates whether the current flow is source-induced or load-induced.

Art Unit: 2829

On the other hand, Canter disclose (fig 1) the remainder of the system is a measuring instrument further comprising an output indicator (18) which indicates whether the current flow is source-induced or load-induced for the purpose of viewing the output result.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify McKim patent with the measuring instrument comprising an output indicator as disclosed in Canter for the purpose of viewing the output result.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (571) 277- 1309. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN. Jan 21, 2005

> DAVID ZARNEKE PRIMARY EXAMINER